IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARC-DANIEL LOUIS, :

•

Petitioner

vs. : CIVIL NO. 3:06-0154

.

ALBERTO GONZALES, MICHAEL : (Judge Kosik)

CHERTOFF & BUREAU OF IMMIGRATION & CUSTOM

ENFORCEMENT,

:

Respondent :

ORDER

AND NOW, THIS 27th DAY OF MARCH, 2007, IT APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT:

- (1) On January 20, 2006, petitioner, Marc-Daniel Louis, an inmate detained at the York County Prison by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241;
- (2) In his petition, petitioner challenges his continued detention and seeks his immediate release on parole pending deportation;
- (3) The matter was assigned to Magistrate Judge Malachy E. Mannion and in a Report and Recommendation filed on March 7, 2007, the Magistrate Judge recommended that the petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus be dismissed as moot;

- (4) Specifically, the Magistrate Judge notes that on February 21, 2007, the Respondent submitted a notice of removal, which indicated that the petitioner was removed from the United States on October 16, 2006;
- (5) The Magistrate Judge points out that when an alien subject to removal challenges only his detention pending removal, and he is released through deportation, the petition is rendered moot because he has received all the relief which he sought and to which he would be entitled;
- (6) The Magistrate Judge concludes that because petitioner has been removed from the United States and is no longer subject to detention pending removal, the petition for writ of habeas corpus is most and should be dismissed;

AND IT FURTHER APPEARING THAT:

- (7) If no objections are filed to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the plaintiff is not statutorily entitled to a <u>de novo</u> review of his claims. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(C); <u>Thomas v. Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140, 150-53 (1985). Nonetheless, the usual practice of the district court is to give "reasoned consideration" to a magistrate judge's report prior to adopting it. Henderson v. Carlson, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3rd Cir. 1987).
- (8) We have reviewed the Report and Recommendations of the Magistrate Judge and agree with his conclusions;

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Malachy E. Mannion dated March 7, 2007 (Document 11) is adopted;
- (2) The petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 is dismissed as moot; and
- (3) The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case and to forward a copy of this Order to the Magistrate Judge.

s/Edwin M. Kosik
United States District Judge